

# OBSERVATIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

After reviewing data collected from **PAWTUCKAWAY LAKE, NOTTINGHAM**, the program coordinators have made the following observations and recommendations:

Thank you for your continued hard work sampling the lake this season! Your monitoring group sampled **five** times this season and has done so for many years! As you know, multiple sampling events each season enable DES to more accurately detect water quality changes. Keep up the good work!

We encourage your monitoring group to formally participate in the DES Weed Watchers program, a volunteer program dedicated to monitoring lakes and ponds for the presence of exotic aquatic plants. This program only involves a small amount of time during the summer months. Volunteers survey their waterbody once a month from **June** through **September**. To survey, volunteers slowly boat, or even snorkel, around the perimeter of the waterbody and any islands it may contain. Using the materials provided in the Weed Watchers Kit, volunteers look for any species that are of suspicion. After a trip or two around the waterbody, volunteers will have a good knowledge of its plant community and will immediately notice even the most subtle changes. If a suspicious plant is found, the volunteers will send a specimen to DES for identification. If the plant specimen is an exotic, a biologist will visit the site to determine the extent of the problem and to formulate a management plan to control the nuisance infestation. Remember that early detection is the key to controlling the spread of exotic plants.

If you would like to help protect your lake or pond from exotic plant infestations, contact Amy Smagula, Exotic Species Program Coordinator, at 271-2248 or visit the Weed Watchers web page at [www.des.state.nh.us/wmb/exoticspecies/survey.htm](http://www.des.state.nh.us/wmb/exoticspecies/survey.htm).

### **FIGURE INTERPRETATION**

- **Figure 1 and Table 1:** Figure 1 (Appendix A) shows the historical and current year chlorophyll-a concentration in the water column. Table 1 (Appendix B) lists the maximum, minimum, and mean concentration for each sampling season that the lake has been monitored through VLAP.

Chlorophyll-a, a pigment found in plants, is an indicator of the algal abundance. Because algae are usually microscopic plants that contain chlorophyll-a, and are naturally found in lake ecosystems, the chlorophyll-a concentration measured in the water gives an estimation of the algal concentration or lake productivity. **The median summer chlorophyll-a concentration for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 4.58 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.**

#### **North and South Deep Spot Station**

The current year data (the top graph) show that the chlorophyll-a concentration at both deep spot stations **increased** from **May** to **June**, **decreased** from **June** to **July**, **increased** from **July** to **August** and then **decreased** from **August** to **September**.

The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the 2005 chlorophyll-a mean at both deep spots is **approximately equal to** the state median and is **greater than** the similar lake median (for more information on the similar lake median, refer to Appendix F).

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data (the bottom graph) for both deep spots shows that the mean annual chlorophyll-a concentration has **not significantly changed**. Specifically, the mean annual chlorophyll-a concentration at the **Station 1** deep spot has **fluctuated between approximately 2.5 and 7.6 mg/m<sup>3</sup>** and the mean annual chlorophyll concentration at the **Station 2** deep spot has **fluctuated between approximately 3 and 10.6 mg/m<sup>3</sup>** since monitoring began. (Note: Please refer to Appendix E for the detailed statistical analysis explanation and data print out.)

It is important to point out that visual inspection of the trend lines for both deep spots indicates an **overall increasing, meaning worsening**, mean annual chlorophyll concentration. If the chlorophyll concentration continues to increase during future sampling seasons, the increasing trend will become statistically significant.

While algae are naturally present in all lakes, an excessive or increasing amount of any type is not welcomed. In freshwater lakes, phosphorus is the nutrient that algae depend upon for growth. Algal concentrations may increase as nonpoint sources of phosphorus from the watershed increase, or as in-lake phosphorus sources increase (such as sediment phosphorus releases, known as internal loading). Therefore, it is extremely important for volunteer monitors to continually educate all watershed residents about activities within the watershed that affect phosphorus loading and lake quality.

- **Figure 2 and Table 3:** Figure 2 (Appendix A) shows the historical and current year data for lake transparency. Table 3 (Appendix B) lists the maximum, minimum and mean transparency data for each sampling season that the lake has been monitored through VLAP.

Volunteer monitors use the Secchi-disk, a 20 cm disk with alternating black and white quadrants, to measure water clarity (how far a person can see into the water). Transparency, a measure of water clarity, can be affected by the amount of algae and sediment from erosion, as well as the natural colors of the water. **The median summer transparency for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 3.2 meters.**

#### **North and South Deep Spot Stations**

The current year data (the top graph) show that the in-lake transparency at the **North** deep spot ***decreased*** from **May** to **June**, ***increased*** from **June** to **July**, ***decreased slightly*** from **July** to **August** and then ***increased*** from **August** to **September**.

The current year data (the top graph) show that the in-lake transparency at the **South** deep spot ***decreased*** from **May** to **June**, ***increased*** from **June** to **July**, ***remained stable*** from **July** to **August** and then ***increased*** from **August** to **September**.

The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the 2005 mean transparency at both deep spots was ***approximately equal to*** the state median and was ***much less than*** the similar lake median (refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median).

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data (the bottom graph) shows that the mean annual transparency has ***not significantly changed***. Specifically, the mean annual transparency at the **North** deep spot station has ***fluctuated between approximately 2.7 and 3.8 meters*** and the mean annual transparency at the **South** deep spot has ***fluctuated between approximately 2.7 and 4.1 meters*** since monitoring began. (Note:

Please refer to Appendix E for the detailed statistical analysis explanation and data print out.)

Typically, high intensity rainfall causes sediment erosion to flow into lakes and streams, thus increasing turbidity and decreasing clarity. Efforts should continually be made to stabilize stream banks, lake shorelines, disturbed soils within the watershed, and especially dirt roads located immediately adjacent to the edge of tributaries and the lake. Guides to Best Management Practices designed to reduce, and possibly even eliminate, nonpoint source pollutants, such as sediment loading, are available from DES upon request.

- **Figure 3 and Table 8:** The graphs in Figure 3 (Appendix A) show the amount of epilimnetic (upper layer) phosphorus and hypolimnetic (lower layer) phosphorus; the inset graphs show current year data. Table 8 (Appendix B) lists the annual maximum, minimum, and median concentration for each deep spot layer and each tributary since the lake has joined VLAP.

Phosphorus is the limiting nutrient for plant and algae growth in New Hampshire's freshwater lakes and ponds. Excessive phosphorus in a lake can lead to increased plant and algal growth over time. **The median summer total phosphorus concentration in the epilimnion (upper layer) of New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 12 ug/L. The median summer phosphorus concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer) is 14 ug/L.**

#### **North Deep Spot Station**

The current year data for the epilimnion (the top inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration ***increased*** from **May** to **June**, ***decreased*** from **June** to **August**, and then ***increased slightly*** from **August** to **September**.

The historical data show that the 2005 mean epilimnetic phosphorus concentration is ***slightly greater than*** the state median and is ***greater than*** the similar lake median (refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median).

The current year data for the hypolimnion (the bottom inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration ***increased steadily*** from **May** to **September**.

The turbidity of the hypolimnion (lower layer) sample was ***highly elevated*** on the **July, August, and September** sampling events (**ranging from 4.25 to 18.5 NTUs**). In addition, it is important to point out that the hypolimnetic turbidity has been at least slightly

elevated on most sampling event during previous sampling seasons. This suggests that the lake bottom is covered by a thick organic layer of sediment which is easily disturbed. When the lake bottom is disturbed, sediment, which typically contains attached phosphorus, is released into the water column. When collecting the hypolimnion sample, make sure that there is no sediment in the Kemmerer Bottle before filling the sample bottles.

The increasing hypolimnetic phosphorus concentration and turbidity as the summer progressed indicates that **internal phosphorus loading** is occurring in the lake.

The historical data show that the 2005 mean hypolimnetic phosphorus concentration is ***much greater than*** the state median and the similar lake median (refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median).

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data shows that the phosphorus concentration in the epilimnion (upper layer) and the hypolimnion (lower layer) has ***significantly increased*** since monitoring began. Specifically, the epilimnetic phosphorus concentration has ***increased*** (meaning ***worsened***) on average at a rate of ***approximately 1.9 % per season*** and the hypolimnetic phosphorus concentration has ***increased*** (meaning ***worsened***) on average at a rate of ***approximately 5.7 % per season*** during the sampling period **1988 to 2005** (Please refer to Appendix E for the statistical analysis explanation and data print out).

#### **South Deep Spot Station**

The current year data for the epilimnion (the top inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration ***increased slightly*** from **May** to **June**, ***decreased*** from **June** to **August**, and then ***increased*** from **August** to **September**.

The historical data show that the 2005 mean epilimnetic phosphorus concentration is ***approximately equal to*** the state median and is ***greater than*** the similar lake median (refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median).

The current year data for the hypolimnion (the bottom inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration ***increased slightly*** from **May** to **June**, ***decreased slightly*** from **June** to **July**, ***increased*** from **July** to **August** and ***remained stable*** from **August** to **September**.

The turbidity of the hypolimnion (lower layer) sample was ***slightly elevated*** on the **July**, **August** and **September** sampling events (**ranging from 1.92 to 5.7 NTUs**). As observed at the **North** deep spot

station, the hypolimnetic turbidity at the **South** deep spot station has been at least slightly elevated on most sampling events during previous sampling seasons. This suggests that the lake bottom is covered by a thick organic layer of sediment which is easily disturbed.

The historical data show that the 2005 mean hypolimnetic phosphorus concentration is **greater than** the state median and the similar lake median (refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median).

Overall, the statistical analysis of the historical data shows that the phosphorus concentration in the epilimnion (upper layer) and the hypolimnion (lower layer) has **not significantly changed** since monitoring began. Specifically, the epilimnetic phosphorus concentration has **fluctuated between approximately 7.6 and 28.8 ug/L** and the hypolimnetic phosphorus concentration has **fluctuated between approximately 10.8 and 60.6 ug/L** since **1992**. (Note: Please refer to Appendix E for the detailed statistical analysis explanation and data print out.)

One of the most important approaches to reducing phosphorus loading to a waterbody is to continually educate watershed residents about its sources and how excessive amounts can adversely impact the ecology and the recreational, economical, and ecological value of lakes and ponds. Phosphorus sources within a lake's watershed typically include septic systems, animal waste, lawn fertilizer, road and construction erosion, and natural wetlands.

#### **TABLE INTERPRETATION**

##### ➤ **Table 2: Phytoplankton**

Table 2 (Appendix B) lists the current and historical phytoplankton species observed in the lake. Specifically, this table lists the three most dominant phytoplankton species observed in the sample and their relative abundance in the sample.

Overall, the dominant phytoplankton species observed this season at both deep spot stations were ***Asterionella* (diatom)**, ***Dinobryon* (golden-brown algae)**, ***Anabaena* (cyanobacteria)**, ***Mallomonas* (golden-brown algae)**, ***Tabellaria* (diatom)**, ***Synura* (golden-brown)**, ***Chrysosphaerella* (golden-brown)**, ***Ceratium* (dinoflagellate)**, and ***Coelosphaerium* (cyanobacteria)**.

Thank you for collecting phytoplankton samples on each sampling event this season. We recommend that your group continue to collect monthly phytoplankton samples so that we may better understand why certain species become dominant under certain conditions.

Phytoplankton populations undergo a natural succession during the growing season (Please refer to the “Biological Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation regarding seasonal plankton succession). Diatoms and golden-brown algae are typical in New Hampshire’s less productive lakes and ponds.

➤ **Table 2: Cyanobacteria**

In addition to the cyanobacteria **Anabaena** being one of the **most** dominant species in the **North** and **South** deep spot samples in **May**, a small amount of **Anabaena** was observed in the **July, August, and September North** and **South** deep spot samples. In addition, a small amount of the cyanobacteria **Microcystis** was observed in the **May South** deep spot station and the **September North** deep spot station. ***These species, if present in large amounts, can be toxic to livestock, wildlife, pets, and humans.*** (Please refer to the “Biological Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation regarding cyanobacteria).

Cyanobacteria can reach nuisance levels when phosphorus loading from the watershed to surface waters is increased (this is often caused by rain events) and favorable environmental conditions occur (such as a period of sunny, warm weather).

The presence of cyanobacteria serves as a reminder of the lake’s delicate balance. Watershed residents should continue to act proactively to reduce nutrient loading to the lake by eliminating fertilizer use on lawns, keeping the lake shoreline natural, re-vegetating cleared areas within the watershed, and properly maintaining septic systems and roads.

In addition, residents should also observe the lake in September and October during the time of fall turnover (lake mixing) to document any algal blooms that may occur. Cyanobacteria have the ability to regulate their depth in the water column by producing or releasing gas from vesicles. However, occasionally lake mixing can affect their buoyancy and cause them to rise to the surface and bloom. Wind and currents tend to “pile” cyanobacteria into scums that accumulate in one section of the lake. If a fall bloom occurs, please collect a sample (any clean jar or bottle will be suitable) and contact the VLAP Coordinator.

➤ **Table 4: pH**

Table 4 (Appendix B) presents the in-lake and tributary current year and historical pH data.

pH is measured on a logarithmic scale of 0 (acidic) to 14 (basic). pH is important to the survival and reproduction of fish and other aquatic life. A pH below 6.0 limits the growth and reproduction of fish. A pH between 6.0 and 7.0 is ideal for fish. The median pH value for the epilimnion (upper layer) in New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **6.6**, which indicates that the surface waters in the state are slightly acidic. For a more detailed explanation regarding pH, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

**North Station**

The mean pH at this deep spot this season ranged from **6.10** in the hypolimnion to **6.62** in the epilimnion, which means that the water is ***slightly acidic***.

**South Station**

The mean pH at the deep spot this season ranged from **6.10** in the hypolimnion to **6.60** in the epilimnion, which means that the water is ***slightly acidic***.

It is important to point out that the pH in the hypolimnion (lower layer) was ***lower (more acidic)*** than in the epilimnion (upper layer). This increase in acidity near the lake bottom is likely due to the decomposition of organic matter and the release of acidic by-products into the water column.

Due to the presence of granite bedrock in the state and acid deposition (from snowmelt, rainfall, and atmospheric particulates) in New Hampshire, there is not much that can be done to effectively increase lake pH.

➤ **Table 5: Acid Neutralizing Capacity**

Table 5 (Appendix B) presents the current year and historical epilimnetic ANC for each year the lake has been monitored through VLAP.

Buffering capacity (ANC) describes the ability of a solution to resist changes in pH by neutralizing the acidic input. The median ANC value for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **4.9 mg/L**, which indicates that many lakes and ponds in the state are at least "moderately vulnerable" to acidic inputs. For a more detailed explanation, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.



The mean Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) of the epilimnion (the upper layer) was **4.3 mg/L** this season at the **North** deep spot, and was **4.7 mg/L** at the **South** deep spot, both of which are **less than** the state median. In addition, this indicates that the lake is **moderately vulnerable** to acidic inputs (such as acid precipitation).

➤ **Table 6: Conductivity**

Table 6 (Appendix B) presents the current and historical conductivity values for tributaries and in-lake data. Conductivity is the numerical expression of the ability of water to carry an electric current (which is determined by the number of negatively charged ions from metals, salts, and minerals in the water column). The median conductivity value for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **40.0 uMhos/cm**. For a more detailed explanation, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

The mean annual conductivity in the epilimnion at the **North Station** deep spot this season was **49.06 uMhos/cm**, and at the **South Station** deep spot this season was **47.76 uMhos/cm**, both of which are **slightly greater than** the state median.

The conductivity has **gradually increased** in the lake and inlets since monitoring began. In addition, the conductivity has remained elevated at **Fernalds A**, **Fernalds B**, and **White Grove Brook**. Typically, sources of increasing or elevated conductivity are due to human activity. These activities include failed or marginally functioning septic systems, agricultural runoff, and road runoff (which contains road salt during the spring snow melt). New development in the watershed can alter runoff patterns and expose new soil and bedrock areas, which could contribute to increasing conductivity. In addition, natural sources, such as iron and manganese deposits in bedrock, can influence conductivity.

We recommend that your monitoring group *continue* to conduct stream surveys and storm event sampling along the inlets with elevated conductivity so that we can *better* determine what may be causing the increases.

*For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event sampling and stream surveys, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report "Special Topic Article" or contact the VLAP Coordinator.*

We also recommend that your monitoring group conduct a shoreline conductivity survey of the lake to help pinpoint the sources of **elevated** conductivity.

*To learn how to conduct a shoreline conductivity survey, please refer to the 2004 “Special Topic Article” or contact the VLAP Coordinator.*

It is possible that de-icing materials applied to nearby roadways during the winter months may be influencing the conductivity in the lake. In New Hampshire, the most commonly used de-icing material is salt (sodium chloride).

Therefore, we recommend that the **epilimnion** (upper layer) be sampled for chloride next season. We also recommend that your monitoring group sample the major inlets to lake to determine the conductivity and chloride levels of the streamflow. This sampling may help us pinpoint what areas of the watershed which are contributing to the increasing in-lake conductivity.

We recommend that your monitoring group continue to conduct chloride sampling in the epilimnion at the deep spot and in the inlets near salted-roadways, particularly in the spring soon after snow-melt and after rain events during the summer. This will establish a baseline of data that will assist your monitoring group and DES to determine lake quality trends in the future.

*Please note that there will be an additional cost for each of the chloride samples and that these samples must be analyzed at the DES laboratory in Concord. In addition, it is best to conduct chloride sampling in the spring as the snow is melting and during rain events.*

➤ **Table 8: Total Phosphorus**

Table 8 (Appendix B) presents the current year and historical total phosphorus data for in-lake and tributary stations. Phosphorus is the nutrient that limits the algae’s ability to grow and reproduce. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The total phosphorus concentrations in the **#08 Fernalds A, #09 Fernalds B, Fernalds Upstream** continued to be **very elevated** (in the **500 – 3000 ug/L** range) this season. The turbidity of these samples, however, was **only slightly elevated** this season.

In addition, the phosphorus concentration in **Back Creek B, Fundy Brook, Mountain Brook, Round Pond Brook, and White Grove Brook** were **elevated** on **numerous** sampling events this season. The turbidity was only **particularly elevated** in **Fundy Brook** on the **September** sampling event.

It is likely that the phosphorus concentration in the tributaries is affected by the extensive wetland systems within the watershed. Due to the unusually high water levels and amount of rainfall during the spring and summer of 2005, it is possible that phosphorus-enriched water was being released by the wetland systems (due to accelerated terrestrial plant decomposition) causing elevated phosphorus concentrations in the tributaries.

If you suspect that erosion is occurring in any area of the watershed, we recommend that your monitoring group conduct a stream survey and storm event sampling along this inlet. This additional sampling may allow us to determine what is causing the **elevated** levels of turbidity and phosphorus.

*For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event sampling and stream surveys, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report "Special Topic Article" or contact the VLAP Coordinator.*

➤ **Table 9 and Table 10: Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature Data**

Table 9 (Appendix B) shows the dissolved oxygen/temperature profile(s) for the 2005 sampling season. Table 10 (Appendix B) shows the historical and current year dissolved oxygen concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer). The presence of dissolved oxygen is vital to fish and amphibians in the water column and also to bottom-dwelling organisms. Please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The dissolved oxygen concentration was **lower in the hypolimnion (lower layer) than in the epilimnion (upper layer)** at both deep spots of the lake on the **August** sampling event. As lakes age, and as the summer progresses, oxygen typically becomes **depleted** in the hypolimnion by the process of decomposition. Specifically, the loss of oxygen in the hypolimnion results primarily from the process of biological breakdown of organic matter (i.e.; biological organisms use oxygen to break down organic matter), both in the water column and particularly at the bottom of the lake where the water meets the sediment.

During this season, and many past sampling seasons, the both deep spots have had a lower dissolved oxygen concentration and a higher total phosphorus concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer) than in the epilimnion (upper layer). These data suggest that the process of **internal phosphorus loading** is occurring in the lake. When oxygen levels are depleted to less than 1 mg/L in the hypolimnion (**as it was this season and in many past seasons**), the phosphorus that is normally bound up with metals in the sediment may be re-released into the water column. Since an internal source of phosphorus in the

lake is present, it is even more important that watershed residents act proactively to minimize phosphorus loading from the watershed.

➤ **Table 11: Turbidity**

Table 11 (Appendix B) lists the current year and historical data for in-lake and tributary turbidity. Turbidity in the water is caused by suspended matter, such as clay, silt, and algae. Water clarity is strongly influenced by turbidity. Please refer to the “Other Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

As discussed previously, the turbidity in the **#09 Fernalds Brook** samples was slightly elevated on each sampling event and the **turbidity** in the **Fundy Brook** sample in **September** was **slightly elevated**. This suggests that soil erosion may be occurring in these areas of the watershed.

If you suspect that erosion is occurring in these areas of the watershed, we recommend that your monitoring group conduct stream surveys and storm event sampling along the tributaries. This additional sampling may allow us to determine what is causing the **elevated** levels of turbidity.

*For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event sampling and stream surveys, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report “Special Topic Article” or contact the VLAP Coordinator.*

➤ **Table 12: Bacteria (*E.coli*)**

Table 12 lists only the historical data for bacteria (*E.coli*) testing. (Please note that Table 12 now lists the maximum and minimum results for all past sampling seasons.) *E. coli* is a normal bacterium found in the large intestine of humans and other warm-blooded animals. *E.coli* is used as an indicator organism because it is easily cultured and its presence in the water, in defined amounts, indicates that sewage **MAY** be present. If sewage is present in the water, potentially harmful disease-causing organisms **MAY** also be present.

Bacteria sampling was not conducted this year. If residents are concerned about sources of bacteria such as failing septic systems, animal waste, or waterfowl waste, it is best to conduct *E. coli* testing when the water table is high, when beach use is heavy, or immediately after rain events.

➤ **Table 14: Current Year Biological and Chemical Raw Data**

This table lists the most current sampling season results. Since the maximum, minimum, and annual mean values for each parameter are not shown on this table, this table displays the current year “raw” (meaning unprocessed) data. The results are sorted by station, depth zone (epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion) and parameter.

➤ **Table 15: Station Table**

As of the Spring of 2004, all historical and current year VLAP data are included in the DES Environmental Monitoring Database (EMD). To facilitate the transfer of VLAP data into the EMD, a new station identification system had to be developed. While volunteer monitoring groups can still use the sampling station names that they have used in the past (and are most familiar with), an EMD station name also exists for each VLAP sampling location. For each station sampled at your lake, Table 15 identifies what EMD station name corresponds to the station names you have used in the past and will continue to use in the future.

### **DATA QUALITY ASSURANCE AND CONTROL**

#### **Annual Assessment Audit:**

During the annual visit to your lake, the biologist conducted a “Sampling Procedures Assessment Audit” for your monitoring group. Specifically, the biologist observed the performance of your monitoring group while sampling and filled out an assessment audit sheet to document the ability of the volunteer monitors to follow the proper field sampling procedures (as outlined in the VLAP Monitor’s Field Manual). This assessment is used to identify any aspects of sample collection in which volunteer monitors fail to follow proper procedures, and also provides an opportunity for the biologist to retrain the volunteer monitors as necessary. This will ultimately ensure that the samples that the volunteer monitors collect are truly representative of actual lake and tributary conditions.

Overall, your monitoring group did an **excellent** job collecting samples on the annual biologist visit this season! Specifically, the members of your monitoring group followed the proper field sampling procedures and there was no need for the biologist to provide additional training. Keep up the good work!

### **Sample Receipt Checklist:**

Each time your monitoring group dropped off samples at the laboratory this summer, the laboratory staff completed a sample receipt checklist to assess and document if the volunteer monitors followed proper sampling techniques when collecting the samples. The purpose of the sample receipt checklist is to minimize, and hopefully eliminate, future re-occurrences of improper sampling techniques.

Overall, the sample receipt checklist showed that your monitoring group did an **excellent** job when collecting samples and submitting them to the laboratory this season! Specifically, the members of your monitoring group followed the proper field sampling procedures and there was no need for the laboratory staff to contact your group with questions, and no samples were rejected for analysis.

### **USEFUL RESOURCES**

*Best Management Practices to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution: A Guide for Citizens and Town Officials*, NHDES Booklet WD-03-42, (603) 271-2975.

*Canada Geese Facts and Management Options*, NHDES Fact Sheet BB-53, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-53.htm](http://www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-53.htm).

*Cyanobacteria in New Hampshire Waters Potential Dangers of Blue-Green Algae Blooms*, NHDES Fact Sheet WMB-10, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wmb/wmb-10.htm](http://www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wmb/wmb-10.htm).

*Erosion Control for Construction in the Protected Shoreland Buffer Zone*, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-SP-1, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/sp/sp-1.htm](http://www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/sp/sp-1.htm).

*Low Impact Development Hydrologic Analysis*. Manual prepared by Prince George's County, Maryland, Department of Environmental Resources. July 1999. To access this document, visit [www.epa.gov/owow/nps/lid\\_hydr.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/owow/nps/lid_hydr.pdf) or call the EPA Water Resource Center at (202) 566-1736.

*Low Impact Development: Taking Steps to Protect New Hampshire's Surface Waters* NHDES Fact Sheet WD-WMB-16, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wmb/wmb-17.htm](http://www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wmb/wmb-17.htm).

*Road Salt and Water Quality*, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-WMB-4, (603) 271-2975 or [www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wmb/wmb-4.htm](http://www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wmb/wmb-4.htm).